UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA TERRE HAUTE DIVISION

MAXIMILLIAN P. KLEIMAN,)
Petitioner,))
v.) No. 2:25-cv-00276-JPH-MKK
THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF INDIANA,)))
Respondent.)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - PETITIONER

Maximillian Kleiman filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus challenging disciplinary proceeding ISF-24-12-003279, which occurred at Putnamville Correctional Facility on January 9, 2025. Dkt. 1. He notes that his discipline included a written reprimand and a loss of contact visits for 6 months. *Id.*; *see also* dkt. 1-1 at 3 (reflecting a 30-day loss of commissary privileges). For the below reasons, the Court **orders** Mr. Kleiman to show cause why his petition should not be dismissed pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts.

I. Discussion

A court may grant a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 "only on the ground that [the petitioner] is in custody in violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States." 28 U.S.C. § 2254(a). A prison discipline proceeding affects an inmate's "custody" if it results in a deprivation of earned good-time credits, *Cochran v. Buss*, 381 F.3d 637, 639 (7th Cir. 2004) (per curiam), or a demotion in credit-earning class, *Montgomery v. Anderson*,

262 F.3d 641, 644–45 (7th Cir. 2001). Mr. Kleiman's petition indicates that his disciplinary proceeding has not affected his custody for purposes of § 2254 because he has not been deprived of earned good-time credits or demoted in credit-earning class. Thus, the Court must dismiss Mr. Kleiman's petition for lack of jurisdiction over the matter. *See* Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States ("If it plainly appears from the petition and any attached exhibits that the petitioner is not entitled to relief in the district court, the judge must dismiss the petition and direct the clerk to notify the petitioner.").

Accordingly, Mr. Kleiman's petition, dkt. 1, is **dismissed**, and Mr. Kleiman shall have **through July 28, 2025**, to show cause why this entire action should not be dismissed for a lack of jurisdiction. Failure to respond in the time allotted will result in the dismissal of this action without further warning or opportunity to show cause.

SO ORDERED.

Date: 6/27/2025

James Patrick Hanlon

United States District Judge Southern District of Indiana

Distribution:

MAXIMILLIAN P. KLEIMAN 283292 PUTNAMVILLE - CF PUTNAMVILLE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY Inmate Mail/Parcels 1946 West U.S. Hwy 40 Greencastle, IN 46135